

Annex B

Officers Comments on the Objections

	Issue raised	Officers comments
1/ Resident of Low Poppleton Lane	<p>Dangerous location for the bollard.</p> <p>Cost of this proposal can't be considered without considering the cost of improvements to the Boroughbridge Road junction.</p> <p>Disagrees that there will be a reduction in traffic.</p> <p>What is the Upper Poppleton Parish Councils view of this proposal?</p> <p>Why are other options not being considered, such as extending the existing bus service?</p> <p>Concerns regarding the ongoing situation with large vehicles turning into the road heading for the industrial area beyond the current road closure.</p>	<p>Although the road bends close to the control point the number and speed of vehicles does not make this a dangerous situation.</p> <p>An improvement scheme is planned for this junction in due course.</p> <p>Vehicles dropping off on the Poppleton side of the existing closure point will be removed entirely as will the traffic to the school during the day.</p> <p>No comments have been received from Upper or Nether Poppleton Parish Councils.</p> <p>The existing bus service suffers from delays to its current timetable and extending the route would increase these delays further.</p> <p>Improved advance signing will be put in place to try to overcome these problems.</p>
2/ Business on Millfield Lane	<p>The bollards should be removed for all drivers as this worked well during recent maintenance work on the level crossing.</p>	<p>Comments noted, but this is not up for consideration.</p>
3/ Resident of Low Poppleton Lane	<p>The proposal would have a negative effect on their quality of life.</p> <p>The Boroughbridge Road junction could not cope with the regular bus service.</p> <p>Concerned about the suitability of the road being used by buses on the ground of road surface, road width and noise.</p> <p>Home purchased on the basis of the road being a cul-de-sac and</p>	<p>Comment noted, but Low Poppleton Lane is a public highway.</p> <p>The junction will be able to cope with the low number of buses.</p> <p>See comments above.</p> <p>There is no report available or a requirement to provide one in</p>

	<p>not as a through route for a bus service. Would like a report on the likely impact due to more frequent traffic noise and pollution and considers this change could breach their human rights.</p> <p>One bollard would not be enough and more would cost extra for installation and maintenance.</p> <p>There was obviously good reason for the introduction of the bollards, has this been revisited.</p> <p>Cites several contradictory statements regarding the required distance to bus stop facilities for a development of this scale.</p> <p>Safety and risk assessment concerns regarding the use of a rising bollard.</p> <p>Issues regarding the installation of traffic signals at the Boroughbridge Road junction.</p> <p>Very few children use the bus service to get to school and if it is only for the benefit of the school why are other local buses to be able to use it and why does it have to be in place before the school is built.</p> <p>Residents on Boroughbridge Road will lose their bus service to Poppleton facilities.</p> <p>Disputes the statement that traffic flow will decrease.</p>	<p>these circumstances. This is not a breach of human rights.</p> <p>The design for how the control point would operate has not been finalised. This process merely deals with the principle of allowing the buses through the closure point.</p> <p>The volume of additional traffic using this route will be very low.</p> <p>Unable to comment on the statements made, but it is an established council policy that has lead to this proposal being taken forward.</p> <p>All works on the public highway of this nature go through a safety audit process.</p> <p>This is outside the scope of this consultation.</p> <p>The proposal has been put forward to comply with City of York Council policy.</p> <p>Noted.</p> <p>Vehicles dropping off on the Poppleton side of the existing closure point will be removed entirely as will the traffic to the school during the day.</p>
4/ continued	<p>Concerned about the cost of implementing the proposals and who will bear these costs.</p> <p>Concerned that other alternatives have not been considered.</p>	<p>The developer will be funding these proposals.</p> <p>The use of bus stops on the A59 was discussed, but rejected on</p>

		road safety grounds and close proximity to the A1237 / A59 junction.
4/ Resident of Low Poppleton Lane	<p>The Boroughbridge Road junction is inadequate for buses turning out and should be made a traffic signal junction.</p> <p>How many school children will use the bus service and what about children who use a different bus service that doesn't use Low Poppleton Lane.</p> <p>What service is to be provided for elderly people that live along Station Road, how far will they have to walk?</p> <p>Disputes the statement that traffic flow will decrease.</p> <p>Why can't the Civil Service site be used to provide a drop off and bus turning facility along with a crossing on Boroughbridge Road?</p>	<p>The number of buses using this road will not create a problem at the junction.</p> <p>Not known, but the proposal has been put forward to comply with City of York Council policy.</p> <p>Residents will be within a 5 to 10 minute walk of public transport facilities.</p> <p>Vehicles dropping off on the Poppleton side of the existing closure point will be removed entirely as will the traffic to the school during the day.</p> <p>The developer does not own this site.</p>